



**REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF PLUMAS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
HELD IN QUINCY ON AUGUST 16, 2005**

1. ROLL CALL

The meeting is called to order at 10:00 a.m. with Supervisors Rose Comstock, William Powers, Robert Meacher, Ole Olsen and Chair, William Dennison present.

2. INVOCATION AND FLAG SALUTE

Pastor Tarleton from the First Baptist Church of Quincy offers the invocation and Supervisor Comstock leads the flag salute.

3. PUBLIC COMMENT OPPORTUNITY

None.

4. PLUMAS COUNTY DEPARTMENT HEADS COMMENT PERIOD

David Cline, Plumas-Sierra County Fair Manager addresses the Board. Mr. Cline gives a report on the Plumas-Sierra County Fair held August 10-14, 2005 in Quincy. The Board commends Mr. Cline for his accomplishments as Fair Manager.

5. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

RESOLUTION 05-7185 RE: EMINENT DOMAIN FOR PRIVATE USE

Following presentation by Supervisor Comstock and discussion by the Board, motion is made by Supervisor Comstock and seconded by Supervisor Olsen adopting Resolution No. 05-7185, Supporting Amendment to the California State Constitution Protecting Private Property from Eminent Domain for Private Use, as amended. AYES: Supervisors Comstock, Powers, Meacher, Olsen and Dennison. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. Carried and so ordered.

COUNTY'S PROPERTY TAX SHARING POLICY WITH SPECIAL DISTRICTS

This matter is back before the Board for further discussion regarding the County's Property Tax Sharing Policy with Special Districts as the result of a special assessment election of annexation of an area in which no service is provided.

Jim Stretch, Interim CAO has provided the Board with a staff report and a recommendation to consider a policy of not sharing any portion of the County's share of the tax increment.

The Chair takes comments from the public opposed to the recommendation of the Interim CAO. Don Clark, a member of the public and former County supervisor addresses the Board stating the staff report before them is incomplete. Mr. Clark requests that the Board schedule time to hear from representatives of special districts and members of the public.

There is discussion by the Board.

Supervisor Powers believes that any action taken is pre-mature and refers to the Development Impact Fee Study, which may affect a decision. Supervisor Powers further states that it is important for the County to want to be a part of the solution for funding special districts and need to cooperate in a funding mechanism. Supervisor Powers recommends a continuance of this issue to allow for staff to gather additional information.

Supervisor Olsen recommends an election to proposed increasing the Transient Occupancy Tax.

Supervisor Meacher believes it is time to start considering comments from past Grand Jury's regarding the formation of a countywide fire district.

Supervisor Comstock requests financial facts from the special districts when requesting a portion of the tax increment.

Following further discussion, motion is made by Supervisor Meacher and seconded by Supervisor Powers to adopt the recommendation of Jim Stretch, Interim CAO as outlined in his staff report of July 27, 2005 and amended on August 09, 2005, referred to as recommendation 1(a), directing the CAO to work with County Counsel and Auditor/Controller to prepare new policy Resolutions for consideration of a policy of not sharing any portion of the County's share of the tax increment with any special districts, including fire districts, unless there is a transfer of existing service responsibility. The exception would be any fire district that has a special financial measure or assessment, not a Proposition 218 reauthorization, passed by their voters on November 08, 2005. AYES: Supervisors Comstock, Powers, Meacher, Olsen and Dennison. NOES: None. ABSENT: None. Carried and so ordered.

The Board agrees to consider clarifying and/or amending Resolution 01-6534, a policy for County property tax exchanges with special districts providing fire protection services that attempt to pass special funding measures by voters as follows:

- A district must be able to demonstrate in writing to the Board's satisfaction that they have great financial distress that cannot be met without sharing a portion of the County's property tax base or increment.
- Define the "7% of the tax increment" to be shared with the district to be the County general fund share (21% generally), not the entire increment, and exclude the County Flood Control District from the transfer sharing equation.
- Determine that the sharing of the general fund tax increment will only be effective as long as the voter approved special district assessment is in effect.
- Determine that the special assessment to raise additional revenue in the affected tax area must be approved by the voters, not just attempted (current language).
- Determine that the special assessment must at all times raise at least as much revenue for the district as the amount transferred to the district from the County under the tax sharing agreement. If not, the County transfer amount would be reduced to the amount the district is raising.
- Either eliminate entirely the transfer of the County property tax base, currently reading, "may transfer up to 7% of the tax base and 7% of the increment", or eliminate the base transfer provision, substituting a greater percentage of the tax increment—say 15%. **The Board directs staff to return with additional information regarding this issue.**
- If the transfer of the property tax base provision is to remain, include in the policy Resolution the four findings that the Board must make prior to the transfer of the County's property tax base (Revenue & Taxation Code section 99.02(f)), stated generally that: 1) County revenue is available for the transfer; 2) County licenses, user fees and charges will not have to be increased as the result of the transfer 3) the transfer will not impair the County's ability to provide existing services, and 4) the transfer will not adversely affect property revenues to schools.
- Eliminate from consideration the sharing of any and all County Flood Control District property tax base and increment.
- Determine that Proposition 218 reauthorizations do not qualify under the base and tax sharing increment of Resolution 01-6534.

The Board concurs to continue to work with special districts that provide fire protection services regarding a funding mechanism.

6. CALIFORNIA STATE ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES (CSAC)
FINANCE CORPORATION PROGRAMS

Norma Lammers, Executive Director makes her presentation to the Board regarding various programs available to Plumas County through the CSAC Finance Corporation. Ms. Lammers addresses questions from the Board and offers her services to discuss details of the programs.

NOON RECESS

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Board reconvenes at 1:30 p.m. with all Board members present as in the morning session.

7. PLANNING & BUILDING SERVICES

ORDINANCE 05-1033 RE: ABANDONED, WRECKED, DISMANTLED VEHICLES, CODE ENFORCEMENT

Motion is made by Supervisor Meacher and seconded by Supervisor Powers adopting Ordinance No. 05-1033, first read on August 02, 2005, amending Plumas County Code, Title 5, Public Welfare, Chapter 8, Abandoned, Wrecked, Dismantled, or Inoperative Vehicles. AYES: Supervisors Powers, Meacher, Olsen and Dennison. NOES: Supervisor Comstock. ABSENT: None. Carried and so ordered.

ORDINANCE 05-1034 RE: SANITATION AND HEALTH, CODE ENFORCEMENT

Motion is made by Supervisor Meacher and seconded by Supervisor Powers adopting Ordinance No. 05-1034, first read on August 02, 2005, amending Plumas County Code, Title 6, Sanitation and Health. AYES: Supervisors Powers, Meacher, Olsen and Dennison. NOES: Supervisor Comstock. ABSENT: None. Carried and so ordered.

ORDINANCE 05-1035 RE: BUILDING REGULATIONS, CODE ENFORCEMENT

Motion is made by Supervisor Meacher and seconded by Supervisor Powers adopting Ordinance No. 05-1035, first read on August 02, 2005, amending Plumas County Code, Title 8, Building Regulations. AYES: Supervisors Powers, Meacher, Olsen and Dennison. NOES: Supervisor Comstock. ABSENT: None. Carried and so ordered.

ORDINANCE 05-1036 RE: PLANNING AND ZONING, CODE ENFORCEMENT

Motion is made by Supervisor Meacher and seconded by Supervisor Powers adopting Ordinance No. 05-1036, first read on August 02, 2005, amending Plumas County Code, Title 9, Planning and Zoning. AYES: Supervisors Powers, Meacher, Olsen and Dennison. NOES: Supervisor Comstock. ABSENT: None. Carried and so ordered.

ORDINANCE 05-1037 RE: PROCEDURE FOR ABATEMENT AND RECOVERY OF COSTS

Motion is made by Supervisor Meacher and seconded by Supervisor Powers adopting Ordinance No. 05-1037, first read on August 02, 2005, amending Plumas County Code, Title 8, Building Regulations, Chapter 19, Procedure for Abatement and Recovery of Costs. AYES: Supervisors Powers, Meacher, Olsen and Dennison. NOES: Supervisor Comstock. ABSENT: None. Carried and so ordered.

PUBLIC HEARING/RESOLUTION 05-7186 RE: AMENDING MASTER FEE SCHEDULE, CODE ENFORCEMENT

The Chair opens the public hearing. There being no comment, the public hearing is closed and before the Board for decision. Motion is made by Supervisor Powers and seconded by Supervisor Meacher adopting Resolution No. 05-7186, amending the Master Fee Schedule by adopting and adding thereto the Code Enforcement Fee Schedule for the Recovery of Fees and Costs of Code Enforcement and Abatements. AYES: Supervisors Powers, Meacher, Olsen and Dennison. NOES: Supervisor Comstock. ABSENT: None. Carried and so ordered.

8. PUBLIC WORKS
DEVELOPER IMPACT FEE STUDY

Representatives from Pacific Municipal Consultants (PMC) make a presentation to the Board. The purpose of the presentation is to provide the Board with information to help them determine County projects to be considered within the Developer Fee Study.

Following the presentation, there is discussion by the Board. There is concern amongst Board members that once the study is complete and the fee is determined, it will affect all new development at the same rate. Supervisor Meacher believes the fee should be established on a “sliding scale” and further states that if not able to use this method then there is no interest in a Developer Impact Fee for Plumas County.

Supervisor Powers requests that the Board select projects and wait until the study is complete before making any decisions. Supervisor Dennison concurs.

The following list of projects is to be considered within the Developer Fee Study:

1. Health & Human Services Center
2. Drop-In Center additions at Mental Health and Alcohol & Drug
3. New Jail Facility/Sheriff Sub-Station
4. GIS System Needs
5. One-Stop Permit Center
6. Animal Shelter
7. Courthouse Improvements/Dame Shirley Plaza

9. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ANNOUNCEMENTS AND REPORTS

Supervisor Powers reports on various meetings attended and include a meeting with Judicial Council, the Lake Davis Steering Committee meeting, and the Juvenile Justice Committee meeting.

Supervisor Comstock reports on a meeting and dinner with staff from Congressman Doolittle’s office while visiting Plumas County.

10. PLUMAS COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT
CLOSED SESSION

The Board meets in closed session from 3:20 p.m. to 3:45 p.m. for conference with Legal Counsel regarding anticipated litigation (Government Code §54956.9(b)(3)(C)). Correspondence from City of Portola dated July 25, 2005 regarding the Lake Davis water treatment plant.

Supervisor Meacher leaves the Boardroom.

11. CONSENT AGENDA

Motion is made by Supervisor Powers and seconded by Supervisor Comstock to approve the following consent agenda items. AYES: Supervisors Comstock, Powers, Olsen and Dennison. NOES: None. ABSENT: Supervisor Meacher. Carried and so ordered.

A) BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

- 1) Approval of expenditures from Community Services Funds.
Department 20730 – Supervisor Dennison
Chester Community Chorus – Donation \$250.00
- 2) Approval of Board minutes for August 02, 2005.

B) ELECTIONS

RESOLUTION 05-7187, Reimbursement of Costs from State of California to Plumas County for State of California Special Election on November 08, 2005.

C) PUBLIC WORKS

Approval of final map, and accept the utility easement dedication for the Village at Plumas Pines, Phase I, and authorize the Chair to sign the subdivision agreement.

D) HUMAN RESOURCES

- 1) **RESOLUTION** 05-7188, approving the Job Description of Quality Assurance Coordinator, and amend the County's Position and Salary Classification to Include the Position.
- 2) **RESOLUTION** 05-7189, approving the Extension of 200 Hours to the 960 Hour Per Year Employment Limit for Public Agency Member Retiree (Assessor's Department).
- 3) **RESOLUTION** 05-7190, amending the Personnel Allocation and Salaries due to the Results of the 2005 Reclassification Process. (Plumas-Sierra County Fair)

E) COUNTY COUNSEL

RESOLUTION 05-7191, approving a Purchasing Policy and Bidding Procedure Plan for the Plumas County Purchasing Agent.

F) PUBLIC HEALTH AGENCY

- 1) Approve and authorize the Chair to sign various Service Agreements for \$10,000 or more for FY 2005-2006 California Endowment Oral Health Program. Approved as to form by County Counsel.
- 2) Approve a 60-month lease contract between Plumas County Public Health and Usbancorp for copier or digital copier/printer services, and authorize the Chair to sign. Approved as to form by County Counsel.
- 3) Accept the Annual Plumas County Children's Medical Services Plan for FY 2005-2006, authorize the Chair to sign the Certification Statement, and authorize the Director of Public Health to sign related document as the Board designee.

G) SHERIFF

Approval to purchase fixed assets for \$51,366 (grant funded purchases) for Sheriff's SWAT, Boating Safety and Enforcement Unit, and 911 Dispatch Center prior to adoption of the budget for FY 2005-2006. The grant-funded purchases have been included in the budget requests submitted for FY 2005-2006.

12. APPOINTMENTS

None.

13. CORRESPONDENCE

None.

14. LEGISLATION UPDATE

None.

ADJOURNMENT

The Board adjourns at 3:45 p.m. to meet again on August 19, 2005 for FY 2005-2006 Budget Hearings.

William N. Dennison, Chairman of the Board

ATTEST:

Nancy L. DaForno, Clerk of the Board